## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

April 19,

2021

Christopher S. Kiper Managing Member Legion Partners Holdings LLC 12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1240 Los Angeles, VA 90025

Re: OnesSpan Inc.

DFAN14A preliminary proxy statement filing made on Schedule

14A

Filed on April 15, 2021 by Legion Partners Holdings LLC, et

al.

File No. 000-24389

Dear Mr. Kiper,

We have reviewed the above-captioned filing, and have the following comments. Our

comments may ask for additional information so that we may better understand the disclosure.

Please respond to this letter by amending the filing and/or by providing the requested

information. After reviewing any amendment to the filing and any information provided in reply

to these comments, we may have additional comments. If you do not believe our comments

apply to your facts and circumstances, and/or do not believe an amendment is appropriate, please

tell us why in a written response.

Definitive Additional Materials filed on Schedule 14A

1. Please refer to the following statement: If the Company were to monetize the declining

Hardware business, doing so would immediately transform OneSpan into a pure play

software company and likely rerate its shares closer to peer levels, or roughly 70% higher

than current levels. In future communications, please present any identical or similar

statement as an opinion. Given the suggestion that, as a smaller company with less revenue  $% \left( 1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$ 

after selling the hardware operation, OneSpan  $\,$  s market value would rise with no change in

the fundamentals underlying its software revenue, please provide us with a reasonable factual

basis for the 70% estimate and advise us what consideration, if any, the participants gave to

adhering to the disclosure standards enunciated in Release No. 34-16833 (May 23, 1980).

we believe lack the independence and skillsets to help ensure an appropriate valuation for

OneSpan. Nine out of 10 OneSpan directors, however, qualify as independent under the

applicable standards codified within NASDAQ rules. Given that only one non-independent

director exists, please advise us, with a view toward corrective disclosure, of the factual

foundation for the assertion that four of  ${\tt OneSpan}\ \ {\tt s}$  directors lack independence.

Christopher S. Kiper

c/o Legion Partners Holdings LLC

April 19, 2021

Page 2

3. Please refer to the following statement: Rather than proactively address this structural issue

through a strategic review of the Hardware business over a year ago when we

first raised the

issue and when its revenue and value were much higher, the Board chose not to act.  $\;\;$  The

Board, however, disclosed that it formed a new Finance and Strategy Committee in  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

September 2020. On December 9, 2020, OneSpan retained an investment bank to act as an  $\,$ 

independent financial advisor in connection with a strategic review. Please advise us, with a  $\,$ 

view toward corrective disclosure, the basis for the assertion that the Board chose not to act

with respect to performing a strategic review of OneSpan, including its hardware business.

We remind you that the participants are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of

their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or inaction by the staff.

You may contact me at (202) 551-3266 with any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Nicholas P.

Panos

Nicholas P. Panos Senior Special

Counsel

Office of Mergers &

Acquisitions

cc: Elizabeth Gonzalez-Sussman, Esq.